arXiv:1407.3702v1 [math.CA] 10 Jul 2014

L_p-CONVERGENCE OF HIGHER ORDER HERMITE OR HERMITE-FEJÉR INTERPOLATION POLYNOMIALS WITH EXPONENTIAL-TYPE WEIGHTS

HEE SUN JUNG[1] AND RYOZI SAKAI[2]

Abstract. Let $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$, and let $Q \in C^1(\mathbb{R}) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$ be an even function, which is an exponent. We consider the weight $w_\rho(x) = |x|^\rho e^{-Q(x)}$, $\rho \geqslant 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and then we can construct the orthonormal polynomials $p_n(w_\rho^2; x)$ of degree n for $w_\rho^2(x)$. In this paper we obtain L_p-convergence theorems of even order Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomials at the zeros $\{x_{k,n,\rho}\}_{k=1}^n$ of $p_n(w_\rho^2; x)$.

MSC; 41A05, 41A10
Keywords; higher order Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomials

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$, and let $Q \in C^1(\mathbb{R}) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$ be an even function. Consider the weight $w(x) = \exp(-Q(x))$ and define for $\rho > -\frac{1}{2}$,

$$w_\rho(x) := |x|^\rho w(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.1}$$

Suppose that $\int_0^\infty x^n w_\rho^2(x)dx < \infty$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. Then, we can construct the orthonormal polynomials $p_{n,\rho}(x) = p_n(w_\rho^2; x)$ of degree n for $w_\rho^2(x)$, that is,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_{n,\rho}(x)p_{m,\rho}(x)w_\rho^2(x)dx = \delta_{mn}(\text{Kronecker's delta}).$$

Denote

$$p_{n,\rho}(x) = \gamma_n x^n + \ldots, \gamma_n = \gamma_{n,\rho} > 0,$$

and the zeros of $p_{n,\rho}(x)$ by

$$-\infty < x_{n,n,\rho} < x_{n-1,n,\rho} < \ldots < x_{2,n,\rho} < x_{1,n,\rho} < \infty.$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_n$ denote the class of polynomials with degree at most n. For $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ we define the higher order Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomial $L_n(\nu, f; x)$ based at the zeros $\{x_{k,n,\rho}\}_{k=1}^n$ as follows:

$$L_n^{(i)}(\nu, f; x_{k,n,\rho}) = \delta_{0,i} f(x_{k,n,\rho}) \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, n \quad \text{and} \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, \nu - 1.$$

$L_n(1, f; x)$ is the Lagrange interpolation polynomial, $L_n(2, f; x)$ is the ordinary Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomial, and $L_n(4, f; x)$ is the Krilov-Stayermann

Date: July 15, 2014.

polynomial. The fundamental polynomials $h_{k,n,\rho}(\nu;x) \in \mathcal{P}_{\nu n-1}$ for the higher order Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomial $L_n(\nu,f;x)$ are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &h_{k,n,\rho}(\nu;x) = l_{k,n,\rho}^{\nu}(x)\sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} e_i(\nu,k,n)(x-x_{k,n,\rho})^i,\\ &l_{k,n,\rho}(x) = \tfrac{p_n(w_\rho^2;x)}{(x-x_{k,n,\rho})p_n'(w_\rho^2;x_{k,n,\rho})},\\ &h_{k,n,\rho}(\nu;x_{p,n,\rho}) = \delta_{k,p}, \quad h_{k,n,\rho}^{(i)}(\nu;x_{p,n,\rho}) = 0,\\ &\quad k,p = 1,2,\dots,n, i = 1,2,\dots,\nu-1. \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

Using them, we can write $L_n(\nu,f;x)$ as follows:

$$L_n(\nu,f;x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(x_{k,n,\rho})h_{k,n,\rho}(\nu;x).$$

Furthermore, we extend the operator $L_n(\nu,f;x)$. Let l be a non-negative integer, and let $l \le \nu-1$. For $f \in C^l(\mathbb{R})$ we define the (l,ν)-order Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomials $L_n(l,\nu,f;x) \in \mathcal{P}_{\nu n-1}$ as follows: For each $k = 1,2,\dots,n$,

$$\begin{aligned} L_n(l,\nu,f;x_{k,n,\rho}) &= f(x_{k,n,\rho}),\\ L_n^{(j)}(l,\nu,f;x_{k,n,\rho}) &= f^{(j)}(x_{k,n,\rho}), \quad j = 1,2,\dots,l,\\ L_n^{(j)}(l,\nu,f;x_{k,n,\rho}) &= 0, \quad j = l+1,l+2,\dots,\nu-1. \end{aligned}$$

Especially, $L_n(0,\nu,f;x)$ is equal to $L_n(\nu,f;x)$, and for each $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\nu n-1}$ we see $L_n(\nu-1,\nu,P;x) = P(x)$. The fundamental polynomials $h_{s,k,n,\rho}(l,\nu;x) \in \mathcal{P}_{\nu n-1}, k = 1,2,\dots,n$, of $L_n(l,\nu,f;x)$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &h_{s,k,n,\rho}(l,\nu;x) = l_{k,n,\rho}^{\nu}(x)\sum_{i=s}^{\nu-1} e_{s,i}(\nu,k,n)(x-x_{k,n,\rho})^i,\\ &h_{s,k,n,\rho}^{(j)}(l,\nu;x_{p,n,\rho}) = \delta_{s,j}\delta_{k,p}, j,s = 0,1,\dots,\nu-1, p = 1,2,\dots,n. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Then we have

$$L_n(l,\nu,f;x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n}\sum_{s=0}^{l} f^{(s)}(x_{k,n,\rho})h_{s,k,n,\rho}(l,\nu;x).$$

For the ordinary Hermite and Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomial $L_n(1,2,f;x)$, $L_n(2,f;x)$ and the related approximation process, Lubinsky [15] gave some interesting convergence theorems.

In [7] we obtained uniform convergence theorems with respect to the interpolation polynomials $L_n(\nu,f;x)$ and $L_n(l,\nu,f;x)$ with even integer ν. In this paper we will give the L_p-convergence theorems of $L_n(\nu,f;x)$ and $L_n(l,\nu,f;x)$ with even order ν. If we consider the higher order Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomial $L_n(\nu,f;x)$ on a finite interval, then we can see a remarkable difference between the cases of an odd number ν and of an even number ν, for example, as between the Lagrange interpolation polynomial $L_n(1,f;x)$ and the Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomial $L_n(2,f;x)$ ([17]-[22]). We can also see a similar phenomenon in the cases of the infinite intervals ([7]-[14]). In this paper, we consider the even case in L_p-norm. We will discuss the odd case in L_p-norm elsewhere.

Here, we give the class of weights which is treated in this paper. We say that $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is quasi-increasing if there exists $C > 0$ such that $f(x) \le Cf(y), 0 < x < y$. In the following, we introduce the class of weights defined in [16].

Definition 1.1 (see [16]). *Let $Q : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a continuous even function satisfying the following properties:*
(a) *$Q'(x)$ is continuous in $\mathbb{R}$ and $Q(0) = 0$.*

(b) $Q''(x)$ *exists and is positive in* $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.
(c) $\lim_{x\to\infty} Q(x) = \infty$.
(d) *The function*

$$T(x) := \frac{xQ'(x)}{Q(x)}, \quad x \neq 0$$

is quasi-increasing in $(0, \infty)$, *with*

$$T(x) \geqslant \Lambda > 1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{0\}.$$

(e) *There exists* $C_1 > 0$ *such that*

$$\frac{Q''(x)}{|Q'(x)|} \leq C_1 \frac{|Q'(x)|}{Q(x)}, \quad a.e. \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Then we say that $w = \exp(-Q)$ *is in the class* $\mathcal{F}(C^2)$. *Besides, if there exists a compact subinterval* $J(\ni 0)$ *of* $\mathbb{R}$ *and* $C_2 > 0$ *such that*

$$\frac{Q''(x)}{|Q'(x)|} \geqslant C_2 \frac{|Q'(x)|}{Q(x)}, \quad a.e. \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus J,$$

then we say that $w = \exp(-Q)$ *is in the class* $\mathcal{F}(C^2+)$. *If* $T(x)$ *is bounded, then* w *is called a Freud-type weight, and if* $T(x)$ *is unbounded, then* w *is Erdös-type weight.*

Some typical examples in $\mathcal{F}(C^2+)$ are given as follows:

Example 1.2 (see [16]). (1) For $\alpha > 1$ and a non-negative integer ℓ, we put

$$Q(x) = Q_{\ell,\alpha}(x) := \exp_\ell(|x|^\alpha) - \exp_\ell(0),$$

where for $\ell \geqslant 1$,

$$\exp_\ell(x) := \exp(\exp(\exp(\cdots \exp x) \ldots)) \quad (\ell\text{-times})$$

and $\exp_0(x) := x$.
(2) For $m \geqslant 0$, $\alpha \geqslant 0$ with $\alpha + m > 1$, we put

$$Q(x) = Q_{\ell,\alpha,m}(x) := |x|^m \{\exp_\ell(|x|^\alpha) - \alpha^* \exp_\ell(0)\}, \tag{1.4}$$

where for $\ell > 0$ we suppose $\alpha^* = 0$ if $\alpha = 0$; $\alpha^* = 1$ otherwise. For $\ell = 0$ we suppose $m > 1$ and $\alpha = 0$. Note that $Q_{\ell,0,m}$ is a Freud-type weight.
(3) For $\alpha > 1$, we put

$$Q(x) = Q_\alpha(x) := (1 + |x|)^{|x|^\alpha} - 1.$$

To consider the higher order Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomial we define a class of further strengthened weights for $\nu \geqslant 2$ than Definition 1.1 as follows:

Definition 1.3 (cf.[7]). *Let* $w(x) = \exp(-Q(x)) \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$, *and let* $\nu \geqslant 2$ *be an integer. Assume that* $Q(x)$ *is a* ν*-times continuously differentiable function on* $\mathbb{R}$ *and satisfies the following:*
(a) $Q^{(\nu+1)}(x)$ *exists and* $Q^{(i)}(x), 0 \leq i \leq \nu + 1$, *are positive for* $x > 0$.
(b) *There exist constants* $C_i > 0$ *such that*

$$\left|Q^{(i+1)}(x)\right| \leq C_i \left|Q^{(i)}(x)\right| \frac{|Q'(x)|}{Q(x)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots \nu.$$

(c) *There exist* $0 \le \delta < 1$ *and* $c_1 > 0$ *such that*

$$Q^{(\nu+1)}(x) \le C\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{\delta}, \quad x \in (0, c_1]. \tag{1.5}$$

Then we say that $w(x) = \exp(-Q(x))$ *is in the class* $\mathcal{F}_\nu(C^2+)$.
(d) *Suppose one of the following:*

(d-1) $Q'(x)/Q(x)$ *is quasi-increasing on a certain positive interval* $[c_2, \infty)$.
(d-2) $Q^{(\nu+1)}(x)$ *is non-decreasing on a certain positive interval* $[c_2, \infty)$.
(d-3) *There exist constants* $C > 0$ *and* $0 \le \delta < 1$ *such that* $Q^{(\nu+1)}(x) \le C(1/x)^\delta$ *on* $(0, \infty)$.

Then we write $w(x) = \exp(-Q(x)) \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\nu(C^2+)$.

In this paper we treat the weight $w_\rho(x) = |x|^\rho \exp(-Q(x))$, $\rho \geqslant 0$ of type (1.1). For $w_\rho(x) = |x|^\rho \exp(-Q(x))$, $w(x) = \exp(-Q(x)) \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\nu(C^2+)$, we write $w_\rho \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\nu,\rho}(C^2+)$.

In the following, we give specific examples of $w \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\nu(C^2+)$.

Example 1.4 (cf.[6, Theorem 3.1])**.** Let ν be a positive integer, and let $Q_{\ell,\alpha,m}$ be defined in (1.4).
(1) Let m and α be non-negative even integers with $m + \alpha > 1$. Then $w(x) = \exp(-Q_{\ell,\alpha,m}) \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(C^2+)$, and one has the following.

(a) If $\ell > 0$, then we see that $Q'_{\ell,\alpha,m}(x)/Q_{\ell,\alpha,m}(x)$ is quasi-increasing on a certain positive interval (c_1, ∞), and $Q_{\ell,0,m}(x)$ is non-decreasing on $(0, \infty)$.

(b) If $\ell = 0$, then we see that $Q_{0,0,m}(x), m \geqslant 2$, is non-decreasing on $(0, \infty)$. Hence $w(x) = \exp(-Q_{\ell,\alpha,m}) \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\nu(C^2+)$.
(2) Let $m + \alpha - \nu > 0$. Then $w(x) = \exp(-Q_{\ell,\alpha,m}) \in \mathcal{F}_\nu(C^2+)$, and one has the following.

(c) If $\ell \geqslant 2$ and $\alpha > 0$, then there exists a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that $Q'_{\ell,\alpha,m}(x)/Q_{\ell,\alpha,m}(x)$ is quasi-increasing on (c_1, ∞).

(d) Let $\ell = 1$. If $\alpha \geqslant 1$, then there exists a constant $c_2 > 0$ such that $Q'_{1,\alpha,m}(x)/Q_{1,\alpha,m}(x)$ is quasi-increasing on (c_2, ∞), and if $0 < \alpha < 1$, then $Q'_{1,\alpha,m}(x)/Q_{1,\alpha,m}(x)$ is quasi-decreasing on (c_2, ∞).

(e) Let $\ell = 1$, and $0 < \alpha < 1$, then $Q^{(\nu+1)}_{1,\alpha,m}(x)$ is non-decreasing on a certain positive interval on (c_2, ∞). Hence $w(x) = \exp(-Q_{\ell,\alpha,m}) \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\nu(C^2+)$.

Example 1.5 ([6, Theorem 3.5])**.** Let ν be a positive integer and $\alpha > \nu$. Then $w(x) = \exp(-Q_\alpha(x))$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}_\nu(C^2+)$. Moreover, there exists a positive constant $c_2 > 0$ such that $Q'_\alpha(x)/Q_\alpha(x)$ is quasi-increasing on (c_2, ∞). Hence $w(x) = \exp(-Q_\alpha) \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\nu(C^2+)$.

In Section 2, we report the L_p-convergence theorems. We write some lemmas to prove the theorems and then we prove them in Section 3.

In what follows we abbreviate several notations as $x_{k,n} := x_{k,n,\rho}, h_{kn}(x) := h_{k,n,\rho}(\nu, x)$, $l_{kn}(x) := l_{k,n,\rho}(x)$, $h_{skn}(x) := h_{s,k,n,\rho}(\nu, x)$ and $p_n(x) := p_{n,\rho}(x)$ if there is no confusion. For arbitrary nonzero real valued functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$, we write $f(x) \sim g(x)$ if there exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ independent of x such that $C_1 g(x) \le f(x) \le C_2 g(x)$ for all x. For arbitrary positive sequences $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{d_n\}_{=1}^\infty$ we define $c_n \sim d_n$ similarly.

Throughout this paper $C, C_1, C_2, \dots$ denote positive constants independent of n, x, t or polynomials $P_n(x)$, and the same symbol does not necessarily denote the same constant in different occurrences.

2. Theorems

We use the following notations.
(1) Mhaskar-Rakhmanov-Saff numbers a_x:

$$x = \frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^1 \frac{a_x u Q'(a_x u)}{(1-u^2)^{1/2}}du, \quad x > 0.$$

(2)

$$\varphi_u(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{|x|}{u}\frac{1-\frac{|x|}{a_{2u}}}{\sqrt{1-\frac{|x|}{a_u}+\delta_u}}, & |x| \le a_u; \\ \varphi_u(a_u), & a_u < |x|, \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\delta_u = (uT(a_u))^{-2/3}, \quad u > 0.$$

In the rest of this paper, we assume the following:

Assumption 2.1. Let the weight $w_\rho \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\nu,\rho}(C^2+)$, $\rho \geqslant 0$.
(a) If $T(x)$ is bounded, then we suppose that for some $C > 0$

$$Q(x) \geqslant C|x|^2,$$

and for δ in (1.5),

$$a_n \le Cn^{1/(1+\nu-\delta)}. \tag{2.2}$$

(b) There exist $0 \le \gamma < 1$ and $C(\gamma) > 0$ such that

$$T(a_n) \le C(\gamma)n^\gamma, \tag{2.3}$$

here, if $T(x)$ is bounded, that is, the weight w is a Freud weight, then we set $\gamma = 0$. We put

$$\varepsilon_n := \begin{cases} \frac{a_n}{n}, & \frac{T(a_n)}{a_n} < 1; \\ \frac{1}{n^{1-\gamma}}, & \frac{T(a_n)}{a_n} \geqslant 1. \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

Lemma 2.2 ([4, Theorem 1.4])**.** (1) *Let $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}(C^2)$, and let $T(x)$ be unbounded. Then, for any $\eta > 0$ there exists $C(\eta) > 0$ such that for $t \geqslant 1$,*

$$a_t \le C(\eta)t^\eta. \tag{2.5}$$

(2) *Let $\lambda := C_1$ be the constant in Definition 1.1 (e), that is,*

$$\frac{Q''(x)}{Q'(x)} \le \lambda\frac{Q'(x)}{Q(x)}, \quad a.e. \quad x \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}.$$

If $1 < \lambda$, and if η is defined in (2.5), then there exists $C(\lambda,\eta)$ such that

$$T(a_t) \le C(\lambda,\eta)t^{\frac{2(\eta+\lambda-1)}{\lambda+1}},$$

and if $\lambda \le 1+\eta$, then there exists $C(\lambda,\eta)$ such that

$$T(a_t) \le C(\lambda,\eta)t^{4\eta}, \quad t \geqslant 1. \tag{2.6}$$

Remark 2.3. (1) If $T(x)$ is unbounded, then for any $L > 0$ we have $Q(x) \ge C|x|^L$([24, Lemma 3.2]) and (2.2) holds ([4, Theorem 1.4]).

(2) (2.3) holds for

$$\gamma = \frac{2(\eta+\lambda-1)}{\lambda+1}, \quad 0<\lambda<3.$$

(3) Let us denote the MRS-number a_n and the function $T(x)$ for $Q_{r,\alpha,m}$ by $a_{n,r,\alpha,m}$ and $T_{r,\alpha,m}$ respectively. Then $T_{r,\alpha,m}(a_{n,r,\alpha,m})$ satisfies (2.3). In fact, we obtain from [23, Proposition 4] and [16, Example 2 and (1.34)],

$$a_{n,r,\alpha,m} \sim (1+\log_r^+ n)^{1/\alpha},$$

where

$$\log_r^+(x) = \begin{cases} \log(\log(\log(...\log x))) \ (r\text{times}), & \text{if } x > \exp_r(0), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

and for every $0<\gamma<1$,

$$T_{r,\alpha,m}(a_{n,r,\alpha,m}) \sim (1+\log_r^+ n)(1+\log_{r-1}^+ n)(1+\log^+ n) < n^\gamma$$

by

$$T_{r,\alpha,m}(a_{n,r,\alpha,m}) = m + T_{r,\alpha,0}(a_{n,r,\alpha,m}).$$

(4) If the weight $w=\exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$ satisfies

$$\mu = \liminf_{x\to\infty} \frac{Q(x)Q''(x)}{(Q'(x))^2} = \limsup_{x\to\infty} \frac{Q(x)Q''(x)}{(Q'(x))^2},$$

then we say that the weight w is regular. For the regular weight w we have

$$\mu = \lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{Q(x)Q''(x)}{(Q'(x))^2} = 1,$$

therefore, we obtain (2.6) for any fixed $\eta>0$ (see [24, Corollary 5.5]). We note that all of examples in Example 1.4 are regular.

(5) (2.5) means

$$0 < C \le \frac{n}{a_n}\left(\frac{T(a_n)}{a_n}\right)^{\nu-1}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} X_n(\nu,f;x) &:= \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_{k,n}) l_{kn}^\nu(x) \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-2} e_i(\nu,k,n)(x-x_{k,n})^i, \\ Y_n(\nu,f;x) &:= \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_{k,n}) l_{kn}^\nu(x) e_{\nu-1}(\nu,k,n)(x-x_{k,n})^{\nu-1}, \\ Z_n(l,\nu,f;x) &:= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^l f^{(s)}(x_{k,n}) l_{kn}^\nu(x) \sum_{i=s}^{\nu-1} e_{si}(\nu,k,n)(x-x_{k,n})^i. \end{aligned}$$

Then we know

$$L_n(\nu,f;x) = X_n(\nu,f;x) + Y_n(\nu,f;x),$$

and

$$L_n(l,\nu,f;x) = L_n(\nu,f;x) + Z_n(l,\nu,f;x).$$

Let

$$\Phi(x) := \frac{1}{(1+Q(x))^{2/3}T(x)}. \tag{2.7}$$

Then we see that for $0 < d \le |x|$,

$$\Phi(x) \sim \frac{Q(x)^{\frac{1}{3}}}{xQ'(x)}.$$

Moreover, if we define

$$\Phi_n(x) := \max\left\{\delta_n, 1 - \frac{|x|}{a_n}\right\}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, ..., \tag{2.8}$$

then we have the following:

Lemma 2.4 ([7, Lemma 3.4])**.** *For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have*

$$\Phi(x) \le C\Phi_n(x), n \geqslant 1.$$

We have a chain of results as follows. In this section, we let $w_\rho \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\nu,\rho}(C^2+)$, $\rho \geqslant 0$, and we suppose Assumption 2.1. In addition, we let $\alpha > 0, \Delta > -1$, $1 < p < \infty$. Let C_f be a positive constant depending only on f, and let $C > 0$ be a constant.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\nu = 2, 3, 4, ...,$ and let*

$$\Delta \geqslant \frac{1}{p} - \min\{1, \alpha\}. \tag{2.9}$$

For $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying

$$|f(x)|(1+|x|)^\alpha \left\{\Phi^{-\frac{3}{4}}(x) w_\rho(x)\right\}^\nu \le C_f, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.10}$$

we have

$$\left\| (1+|x|)^{-\Delta} \left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x) w(x) \left(|x| + \frac{a_n}{n}\right)^\rho\right\}^\nu X_n(\nu, f; x)\right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \le CC_f. \tag{2.11}$$

Proposition 2.6. *Let $\nu = 2, 4, 6, ...$ and assume that (2.9) holds. For $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying*

$$|f(x)|(1+|x|)^\alpha \left\{\Phi^{-\frac{3}{4}}(x) w_\rho(x)\right\}^\nu \left(|Q'(x)| + \frac{1}{|x|}\right) \le C_f, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \tag{2.12}$$

we have

$$\left\| (1+|x|)^{-\Delta} \left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x) w(x) \left(|x| + \frac{a_n}{n}\right)^\rho\right\}^\nu Y_n(\nu, f; x)\right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \le CC_f \varepsilon_n(a_n + \log n),$$

where ε_n is defined by (2.4).

Proposition 2.7. *Let $\nu = 2, 3, 4, ...$ and assume that (2.9) holds. For $f \in C^l(\mathbb{R})$, $0 \le l \le \nu - 1$ satisfying*

$$|f^{(s)}(x)|(1+|x|)^\alpha \left\{\Phi^{-\frac{3}{4}}(x) w_\rho(x)\right\}^\nu \le C_f, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \ \ s = 1, 2, ..., l, \tag{2.13}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| (1+|x|)^{-\Delta} \left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x) w(x) \left(|x| + \frac{a_n}{n}\right)^\rho\right\}^\nu Z_n(l, \nu, f; x)\right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \\ \le \ & C_f \frac{a_n^2 \log n}{n} \begin{cases} 1, & \Delta p < 1; \\ \frac{\log a_n}{a_n}, & \Delta p = 1; \\ \frac{1}{a_n}, & \Delta p > 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.8. *Let $\nu = 2, 3, 4, ...$ and assume that (2.9) holds. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\nu n-1}$ be fixed. Then, we have*

$$\left\| (1+|x|)^{-\Delta} \left\{ \Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x) w(x) \left(|x| + \frac{a_n}{n} \right)^{\rho} \right\}^{\nu} (L_n(\nu, P; x) - P(x)) \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \to 0 \quad as \quad n \to \infty.$$

Proposition 2.9. *Let $\nu = 2, 4, 6, ...$ and assume that (2.9) holds. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\nu n-1}$ be fixed. Then, we have*

$$\left\| (1+|x|)^{-\Delta} \left\{ \Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x) w(x) \left(|x| + \frac{a_n}{n} \right)^{\rho} \right\}^{\nu} (X_n(\nu, P; x) - P(x)) \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \to 0 \quad as \quad n \to \infty.$$

Remark 2.10. Let $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy that for given $0 < \eta < 1$ and $\alpha \geqslant 0$,

(2.14)

$$|f(x)|(1+|x|)^{\alpha} w^{\nu-\eta}(x) \left\{ |Q'(x)| + \frac{1}{|x|} \right\} \leq C_f, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \to 0} \frac{f(x)}{x} \leq C_f,$$

where C_f is a constant depending only on f. Then,

(1) (2.10) and (2.12) hold.
(2) Let $f \in C^l(\mathbb{R})$ for a certain $0 \leq l \leq \nu - 1$. Then (2.13) holds.
(3) Let (2.14) be satisfied, then we see $f(0) = 0$.

Theorem 2.11. *Let $\nu = 2, 4, 6, ...$ and assume that (2.9) holds. For $f(x)$ satisfying (2.14) we have*

$$\left\| (1+|x|)^{-\Delta} \left\{ \Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x) w(x) \left(|x| + \frac{a_n}{n} \right)^{\rho} \right\}^{\nu} (L_n(\nu, f; x) - f(x)) \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \to 0 \quad as \quad n \to \infty.$$

Theorem 2.12. *Let $\nu = 2, 4, 6, ...$ and assume that (2.9) holds. For $f(x)$ satisfying (2.14) and (2.13), we have*

$$\left\| (1+|x|)^{-\Delta} \left\{ \Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x) w(x) \left(|x| + \frac{a_n}{n} \right)^{\rho} \right\}^{\nu} (L_n(l, \nu, f; x) - f(x)) \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \to 0 \quad as \quad n \to \infty.$$

Remark 2.13. From the formulas (2.2), (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$\varepsilon_n(a_n + \log n) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$

and

$$\frac{a_n^2 \log n}{n} \begin{cases} 1, & \Delta p < 1; \\ \frac{\log a_n}{a_n}, & \Delta p = 1; \to 0 \\ \frac{1}{a_n}, & \Delta p > 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

3. Lemmas and Proof of Theorems

For the coefficients $e_{si}(\nu, k, n)(e_i(\nu, k, n) := e_{0i}(\nu, k, n))$ in (1.2) or (1.3) we have the following estimates.

Lemma 3.1 ([3, Theorem 2.6])**.** *Let $w(x) = \exp(-Q(x)) \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$. We have the following. For each $s = 0, 1, ..., \nu - 1$ and $i = s, s+1, ..., \nu - 1$,*

$$e_0(\nu, k, n) = 1, \quad |e_{si}(\nu, k, n)| \leq C \left\{ \frac{n}{(a_{2n}^2 - x_{k,n}^2)^{1/2}} \right\}^{i-s}.$$

Lemma 3.2 ([5, Theorem 4.4 and Lemma 3.7 (3.20)]). *Let $w_\rho \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\nu,\rho}(C^2+)$. If $x_{kn} \neq 0$ and $|x_{k,n}| \leq a_n(1+\delta_n)$, then $e_0(\nu,k,n)=1$ and for $i=1,2,\dots,\nu-1$,*

$$|e_i(\nu,k,n)| \leq C\left\{\frac{T(a_n)}{a_n}+|Q'(x_{k,n})|+\frac{1}{x_{k,n}}\right\}^{\langle i\rangle}\left\{\frac{n}{a_{2n}-|x_{k,n}|}+\frac{T(a_n)}{a_n}\right\}^{i-\langle i\rangle},$$

where

$$< i >= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \text{ is odd,} \\ 0, & \text{if } i \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

For $x_{kn}=0$, we see $e_0(\nu,k,n)=1$ and

$$|e_i(\nu,k,n)| \leq C\left(\frac{n}{a_n}\right)^i \quad i=1,2,\dots,\nu-1.$$

We have the following.

Lemma 3.3 ([1, Theorem 2.4]). *Let $w_\rho \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\nu,\rho}(C^2+)$ $(\rho \geqslant 0)$, $0<p\leq\infty$, and $\beta\in\mathbb{R}$. Then given $r>1$, there exist $C,n_0,\alpha>0$ such that for $n\geqslant n_0$ and $P\in\mathcal{P}_n$,*

$$\left\|(Pw)(x)|x|^\beta\right\|_{L_p(a_{rn}\leq|x|)} \leq \exp(-Cn^\alpha)\left\|(Pw)(x)|x|^\beta\right\|_{L_p(L\frac{a_n}{n}\leq|x|\leq a_n(1-L\eta_n))}.$$

Lemma 3.4. (1) *Let $P\in\mathcal{P}_{\nu n-1}$. Then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\|(1+|x|)^{-\Delta}\left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x)w(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^\rho\right\}^\nu P(x)\right\|_{L_p(a_{2n}\leq|x|)} \\ \leq\ & Ce^{-C_1n^\eta}\left\|(1+|x|)^{-\Delta}\left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x)w(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^\rho\right\}^\nu P(x)\right\|_{L_p(|x|\leq a_{2n})}. \end{aligned}$$

So, if

$$\left\|(1+|x|)^{-\Delta}\left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x)w(x)(|x|+1)^\rho\right\}^\nu P(x)\right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}<\infty,$$

then we have

$$\left\|(1+|x|)^{-\Delta}\left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x)w(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^\rho\right\}^\nu P(x)\right\|_{L_p(a_{2n}\leq|x|)}\to 0 \quad as \quad n\to\infty.$$

Proof. (1) Now, we denote the Mhaskar-Rakhmanov-Saff numbers for the exponents $Q(x)$ and $\nu Q(x)$ by $a_n(Q)$ and $a_n(\nu Q)$ respectively. Then, we have $a_n(Q)=a_{\nu n}(\nu Q)$. From (2.7) we note that $(1+|x|)^\Delta\Phi(x)^{-3\nu/4}$ is quasi-increasing. Then

applying Lemma 3.3 with $w_{\nu\rho}^{\nu}(x) = \exp(-\nu Q(x))|x|^{\nu\rho}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|(1+|x|)^{-\Delta}\left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x)w(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{\rho}\right\}^{\nu}P(x)\right\|_{L_p(a_{2n}(Q)\le|x|)}\\
\le\ & C\left\|w^{\nu}(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{\nu\rho}P(x)\right\|_{L_p(a_{2\nu n}(\nu Q)\le|x|)}\\
\le\ & Ce^{-C(\nu n)^{\eta}}\left\|w^{\nu}(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{\nu\rho}P(x)\right\|_{L_p(|x|\le a_{2\nu n}(\nu Q))}\\
\le\ & C\frac{e^{-C\nu^{\eta}n^{\eta}}}{(1+a_{2n}(Q))^{-\Delta}\Phi(a_{2n}(Q))^{3\nu/4}}\\
&\times\left\|(1+|x|)^{-\Delta}\left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x)(w(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{\rho}\right\}^{\nu}P(x)\right\|_{L_p(|x|\le a_{2n}(Q))}\\
\le\ & Ce^{-C_1n^{\eta}}\left\|(1+|x|)^{-\Delta}\left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x)w(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{\rho}\right\}^{\nu}P(x)\right\|_{L_p(|x|\le a_{2n}(Q))}\\
&\to 0\quad\text{as}\quad n\to\infty,
\end{aligned}$$

because that $(1+a_{2n}(Q))^{\Delta}\Phi(a_{2n}(Q))^{-3\nu/4}$ has order n^s for some $s>0$. □

In the rest of this section we let $w_\rho \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\nu,\rho}(C^2+)$, $\rho \geqslant 0$, and we suppose Assumption 2.1. In addition, we let $\alpha > 0, \Delta > -1$, $1 < p < \infty$, and C_f be a positive constant depending only on f.

To simplify the proofs of theorems we use the results of [7]. In what follows we use the following notation. Let $x_{0,n} := x_{1,n} + \varphi_n(x_{1,n})$, and $x_{n+1,n} = -x_{0,n}$. When $|x| \le x_{0,n}$, we define

$$x_{m,n} := x_{m(x),n};\quad |x - x_{m,n}| = \min_{0\le j\le n}|x - x_{j,n}|. \tag{3.1}$$

If $|x - x_{j+1,n}| = |x - x_{j,n}|$, then we set $x_{m,n} := x_{j,n}$. If $x_{0,n} < x$, then we put $m = 0$. And if $x < x_{n+1,n}$, then we put $m = n+1$. Here, we note that there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that $|x - x_{m,n}| \le \delta\varphi_n(x_{m,n})$.

Lemma 3.5 (cf.[7]). *Let $m := m(x)$ be defined by (3.1).*
(1) *If (2.10) holds, then, we have*
(3.2)

$$\left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x)w(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{\rho}\right\}^{\nu}|X_n(\nu,f;x)| \le C_f\sum_{j=0}^{n}(1+|x_{j,n}|)^{-\alpha}\sum_{i=0}^{\nu-2}\left(\frac{1}{1+|m-j|}\right)^{\nu-i}.$$

(2) *If (2.12) holds, then, we have*
(3.3)

$$\left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x)w(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{\rho}\right\}^{\nu}|Y_n(\nu,f;x)| \le C_f\varepsilon_n\sum_{j=0}^{n}(1+|x_{j,n}|)^{-\alpha}\left(\frac{1}{1+|m-j|}\right).$$

(3) *If (2.13) holds, then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x)w(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{\rho}\right\}^{\nu}|Z_n(l,\nu,f;x)|\\
\le\ & C_f\frac{a_n}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n}(1+|x_{j,n}|)^{-\alpha}\sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1}\left(\frac{1}{1+|m-j|}\right)^{\nu-i}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. In [7, Propositions 3.7, 3.8 and 3.9] we got the similar estimations in $L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$-space. Now, in there we can exchange $f(x)$ with $f(x)(1+|x|)^\alpha$, and then we obtain the results in $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ by the similar methods as the proofs of [7, Propositions 3.7, 3.8 and 3.9] (see [7, p.16-p.23]). □

Lemma 3.6. (1) [7, Lemma 4.3] *Uniformly for $n \geq 1$,*

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |p_{n,\rho}(x)w(x)| \left(|x| + \frac{a_n}{n}\right)^\rho \Phi^{\frac{1}{4}}(x) \leq C a_n^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{3.5}$$

(2) [2, Theorem 2.5(a)] *Uniformly for $n \geq 1$,*

$$|p'_{n,\rho}w|(x_{j,n}) \left(|x_{j,n}| + \frac{a_n}{n}\right)^\rho \sim \varphi_n(x_{j,n})^{-1}[a_n^2 - x_{j,n}^2]^{-1/4}. \tag{3.6}$$

Lemma 3.7. *Let $w(x) = \exp(-Q(x)) \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$. For the zeros $x_{j,n} = x_{j,n,\rho}$, we have the following.*
(1) [2, Theorem 2.2, (b)] *For $n \geqslant 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n-1$,*

$$x_{j,n} - x_{j+1,n} \sim \varphi_n(x_{j,n}),$$

and [2, Lemma A.1 (A.3)]

$$\varphi_n(x_{j,n}) \sim \varphi_n(x_{j+1,n}).$$

(2) [2, Theorem 2.2, (a)] *For the minimum positive zero $x_{[n/2],n}$ ($[n/2]$ is the largest integer $\leq n/2$), we have*

$$x_{[n/2],n} \sim a_n n^{-1},$$

and for large enough n,

$$1 - \frac{x_{1,n}}{a_n} \sim \delta_n.$$

(3) [2, Lemma 4.7]

$$b_n = \frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_n} \sim a_n \sim x_{1,n}.$$

Lemma 3.8. *Let $w = \exp(-Q) \in \mathcal{F}(C^2+)$. Let $L > 0$ be fixed. Then we have the following.*
(a) ([16, Lemma 3.5, (a)]) *Uniformly for $t > 0$,*

$$a_{Lt} \sim a_t.$$

(b) ([16, Lemma 3.5, (b)]) *Uniformly for $t > 0$,*

$$Q^{(j)}(a_{Lt}) \sim Q^{(j)}(a_t), \quad j = 0, 1.$$

Moreover,

$$T(a_{Lt}) \sim T(a_t).$$

(c) ([16, Lemma 3.11 (3.52)]) *Uniformly for $t > 0$,*

$$\left|1 - \frac{a_{Lt}}{a_t}\right| \sim \frac{1}{T(a_t)}.$$

From Lemma 3.6 it is sufficient that we estimate Proposition 2.5, 2.6 or 2.7 for only $|x| \leq a_{2n}$.

Proof of Proposition 2.5. First we set

$$\begin{aligned} X_n(\nu,f;x) &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(x_{j,n}) l_{jn}^{\nu}(x) \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-2} e_i(\nu,j,n)(x-x_{j,n})^i \\ &= : \sum_{j\ ;|x_{j,n}|\geqslant\frac{a_n}{3}} + \sum_{j\ ;|x_{j,n}|<\frac{a_n}{3}} =: X_{1,n}(\nu,f;x)+X_{2,n}(\nu,f;x). \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.2), we see

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|(1+|x|)^{-\Delta}\left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x)w(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{\rho}\right\}^{\nu} X_{1,n}(\nu,f;x)\right| \\ \leq\ & C_f(1+|x|)^{-\Delta} \sum_{|x_{j,n}|\geqslant\frac{1}{3}a_n} (1+|x_{j,n}|)^{-\alpha} \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-2} \left(\frac{1}{1+|m-j|}\right)^{\nu-i} \\ \leq\ & CC_f a_n^{-\alpha}(1+|x|)^{-\Delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have by (2.9)

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\|(1+|x|)^{-\Delta}\left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x)w(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{\rho}\right\}^{\nu} X_{1,n}(\nu,f;x)\right\|_{L_p(|x|\leq a_{2n})} \\ \leq\ & C_f a_n^{-\alpha}\left\|(1+|x|)^{-\Delta}\right\|_{L_p(|x|\leq a_{2n})} \\ \leq\ & C_f a_n^{-\alpha}\begin{cases} a_n^{\frac{1}{p}-\Delta}, & \Delta p<1, \\ \log a_n, & \Delta p=1, \\ 1, & \Delta p>1 \end{cases} \leq C_f. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we will estimate for $X_{2,n}(\nu,f,x)$. For $|x|<1$, since we have from (3.2)

$$\left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x)w(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{\rho}\right\}^{\nu} |X_{2,n}(\nu,f;x)| \leq C_f,$$

we see

$$\left\|(1+|x|)^{-\Delta}\left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x)w(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{\rho}\right\}^{\nu} |X_{2,n}(\nu,f;x)|\right\|_{L_p(|x|\leq 1)} \leq C_f. \tag{3.7}$$

Let $|x|\geq 1$. We divide it into two sums as follows:

$$X_{2,n}(\nu,f;x) =: \sum_{\substack{j;\ |x_{j,n}|<\frac{a_n}{3},\\ |x-x_{j,n}|\geqslant\frac{|x|}{2}}} + \sum_{\substack{j;\ |x_{jn}|<\frac{a_n}{3},\\ |x-x_{j,n}|<\frac{|x|}{2}}} =: X_{2,n}^{[1]}(\nu,f;x)+X_{2,n}^{[2]}(\nu,f;x).$$

Using (2.10), Lemma 3.7(2), (3.5) and (3.6) with $\varphi_n(x_{j,n})\sim a_n/n$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x)w(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{\rho}\right\}^{\nu} \left|f(x_{j,n})l_{jn}^{\nu}(x)\right| \\ \leq\ & C_f(1+|x_{j,n}|)^{-\alpha}\left|\frac{\left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x_{j,n})\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x)w(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{\rho}\right\}p_n(x)}{p_n'(x_{j,n})w(x_{j,n})(\frac{a_n}{n}+|x_{j,n}|)^{\rho}}\right|^{\nu} \\ \leq\ & CC_f(1+|x_{j,n}|)^{-\alpha}\left(\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{\nu} \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

and Lemma 3.1

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\nu-2} e_i(\nu,j,n)(x-x_{j,n})^i \leq C\sum_{i=0}^{\nu-2}\left(\frac{n}{a_n}\right)^i |x|^{i-\nu} \leq C\left(\frac{n}{a_n}\right)^{\nu-2}|x|^{-2}.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x)w(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{\rho}\right\}^{\nu}X_{2,n}^{[1]}(\nu,f;x)\right|\\ \le\ & C_f\sum_{\substack{j\ ;|x_{j,n}|<\frac{a_n}{3},\\ |x-x_{j,n}|\geqslant\frac{|x|}{2}}}(1+|x_{j,n}|)^{-\alpha}\left(\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^2|x|^{-2}\le CC_f\frac{a_n^2}{n}\frac{1}{x^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have, using $\Delta>-1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|(1+|x|)^{-\Delta}\left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x)w(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{\rho}\right\}^{\nu}X_{2,n}^{[1]}(\nu,f;x)\right\|_{L_p(1\le|x|\le a_{2n})}\\ \le\ & C_f\frac{a_n^2}{n}\left\|(1+|x|)^{-(\Delta+2)}\right\|_{L_p(1\le|x|\le a_{2n})}\le CC_f\frac{a_n^2}{n}\le CC_f\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

(note (2.2) and (2.5)). Since, for $|x-x_{j,n}|<\frac{|x|}{2}$, we see $|x_{j,n}|\sim|x|$, the inequality (3.2) implies

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x)w(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{\rho}\right\}^{\nu}X_{2,n}^{[2]}(\nu,f;x)\\ \le\ & CC_f\sum_{\substack{j;\ |x_{j,n}|<\frac{a_n}{3},\\ |x-x_{j,n}|<\frac{|x|}{2}}}(1+|x_{j,n}|)^{-\alpha}\sum_{i=0}^{\nu-2}\left(\frac{1}{1+|m-j|}\right)^{\nu-i}\le CC_f(1+|x|)^{-\alpha}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

Therefore, we obtain by (2.9)

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|(1+|x|)^{-\Delta}\left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x)w(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{\rho}\right\}^{\nu}X_{2,n}^{[2]}(\nu,f;x)\right\|_{L_p(1\le|x|\le a_{2n})}\\ \le\ & CC_f\left\|(1+|x|)^{-(\alpha+\Delta)}\right\|_{L_p(|x|\le a_{2n})}\le CC_fa_n^{\frac{1}{p}-(\alpha+\Delta)}\le CC_f.\end{aligned}\tag{3.11}$$

Hence, from (3.7), (3.8), (3.9), (3.11) and Lemma 3.4 we conclude (2.11) □

Proof of Proposition 2.6. We repeat the methods of the proof of Proposition 2.5.

$$Y_n(\nu,f;x)=:\sum_{j;|x_{j,n}|\geqslant\frac{a_n}{3}}+\sum_{j;|x_{j,n}|<\frac{a_n}{3}}=:Y_{1,n}(\nu,f;x)+Y_{2,n}(\nu,f;x).$$

Then we have by (3.3), (2.9), (2.2) and (2.5)

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|(1+|x|)^{-\Delta}\left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x)w(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{\rho}\right\}^{\nu}Y_{1,n}(\nu,f;x)\right\|_{L_p(|x|\le a_{2n})}\\ \le\ & C_fa_n^{-\alpha}\varepsilon_n\log n\left\|(1+|x|)^{-\Delta}\right\|_{L_p(|x|\le a_{2n})}\\ \le\ & CC_fa_n^{-\alpha}\varepsilon_n\log n\begin{cases}a_n^{\frac{1}{p}-\Delta}, & \Delta p<1;\\ \log a_n, & \Delta p=1;\\ 1, & \Delta p>1\end{cases}\\ \le\ & CC_f\varepsilon_n\log n\begin{cases}1, & \Delta p<1;\\ \frac{\log a_n}{a_n}, & \Delta p=1;\\ \frac{1}{a_n}, & \Delta p>1.\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Now, we estimate $Y_{2,n}(\nu,f;x)$. For $|x|\le 1$ we obtain that from (3.3),

$$\left\|(1+|x|)^{-\Delta}\left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x)w(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{\rho}\right\}^{\nu}Y_{2,n}(\nu,f;x)\right\|_{L_p(|x|\le 1)}\le CC_f\varepsilon_n\log n.$$

Let $|x|\geqslant 1$ and

$$Y_{2,n}(\nu,f;x)=:\sum_{\substack{j\ ;|x_{j,n}|<\frac{a_n}{3},\\ |x-x_{j,n}|\geqslant\frac{|x|}{2}>0}}+\sum_{\substack{j\ ;|x_{j,n}|<\frac{a_n}{3},\\ |x-x_{j,n}|<\frac{|x|}{2}}}=:Y_{2,n}^{[1]}+Y_{2,n}^{[2]}.$$

Then we have similarly to (3.10) and (3.11),

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|(1+|x|)^{-\Delta}\left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x)w(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{\rho}\right\}^{\nu}Y_{2,n}^{[2]}(\nu,f;x)\right\|_{L_p(1\le|x|\le a_{2n})}\\ \le\ & CC_f\varepsilon_n\log n\left\|(1+|x|)^{-(\alpha+\Delta)}\right\|_{L_p(|x|\le a_{2n})}\le CC_f a_n^{\frac{1}{p}-(\alpha+\Delta)}\varepsilon_n\log n\le CC_f\varepsilon_n\log n\end{aligned}$$

(see (2.9)). Finally, we estimate $Y_{2,n}^{[1]}$. Let $|x_{j,n}|<\frac{a_n}{3}$ and $|x-x_{j,n}|\geqslant\frac{|x|}{2}$. Then, from Lemma 3.2, (2.12), (3.5) and (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(3.12)\qquad &\left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x)w(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{\rho}\right\}^{\nu}\left|f(x_{j,n})l_{jn}^{\nu}(x)\right||x-x_{j,n}|^{\nu-1}e_{\nu-1}(\nu,k,n)\\ \le\ & CC_f(1+|x_{j,n}|)^{-\alpha}\left\{|Q'(x_{j,n})|+\frac{1}{|x_{j,n}|}\right\}^{-1}\\ &\times\left|\frac{\left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x_{j,n})\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x)w(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{\rho}\right\}p_n(x)}{p_n'(x_{j,n})w(x_{j,n})(\frac{a_n}{n}+|x_{j,n}|)^{\rho}}\right|^{\nu}\frac{1}{|x-x_{j,n}|}\\ &\times\left\{\frac{T(a_n)}{a_n}+|Q'(x_{k,n})|+\frac{1}{x_{k,n}}\right\}\left\{\frac{n}{a_{2n}-|x_{k,n}|}\right\}^{\nu-2}\\ \le\ & CC_f(1+|x_{j,n}|)^{-\alpha}\left\{|Q'(x_{j,n})|+\frac{1}{|x_{j,n}|}\right\}^{-1}\\ &\times\Phi^{\frac{3\nu}{4}}(x_{j,n})\varphi_n^{\nu}(x_{j,n})\left(1-\frac{|x_{j,n}|}{a_n}\right)^{\frac{\nu}{4}}\frac{1}{|x-x_{j,n}|}\\ &\times\left\{\frac{T(a_n)}{a_n}+|Q'(x_{k,n})|+\frac{1}{x_{k,n}}\right\}\left\{\frac{n}{a_{2n}-|x_{k,n}|}\right\}^{\nu-2}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (2.1), (2.8) and $|x_{j,n}|<a_n/3$, we easily see

$$(3.13)\qquad \Phi_n^{\frac{3\nu}{4}}(x_{j,n})\varphi_n^{\nu}(x_{j,n})\left(1-\frac{|x_{j,n}|}{a_n}\right)^{\frac{\nu}{4}}\left(\frac{n}{a_{2n}-|x_{j,n}|}\right)^{\nu-2}\le C\left(\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^2.$$

Moreover, since $|Q'(x_{j,n})|+\frac{1}{|x_{j,n}|}\geqslant C>0$ for some constant C, we see

$$(3.14)\qquad \left\{\frac{T(a_n)}{a_n}+|Q'(x_{j,n})|+\frac{1}{|x_{j,n}|}\right\}\left\{|Q'(x_{j,n})|+\frac{1}{|x_{j,n}|}\right\}^{-1}\le C\max\left\{1,\frac{T(a_n)}{a_n}\right\}$$

and since $|x-x_{j,n}|\geqslant\frac{|x|}{2}$, we have

$$(3.15)\qquad \frac{1}{|x-x_{j,n}|}\le\frac{2}{|x|}.$$

Thus, using (3.12), (3.13), (3.14) and (3.15), we can estimate for $Y_{2,n}^{[1]}(\nu, f; x)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x)w(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{\rho}\right\}^{\nu} Y_{2,n}^{[1]}(\nu, f; x)\\
\leq\ & CC_f \max\left\{1, \frac{T(a_n)}{a_n}\right\}\frac{1}{|x|}\left(\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^2 \sum_{\substack{j;\ |x_{j,n}|<\frac{a_n}{3},\\ |x-x_{j,n}|\geqslant\frac{|x|}{2}}} (1+|x_{j,n}|)^{-\alpha}\\
\leq\ & CC_f \max\left\{1, \frac{T(a_n)}{a_n}\right\}\frac{1}{|x|}\frac{a_n^2}{n} \leq CC_f a_n\varepsilon_n\frac{1}{|x|}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have by (2.9)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|(1+|x|)^{-\Delta}\left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x)w(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{\rho}\right\}^{\nu} Y_{2,n}^{[1]}(\nu, f; x)\right\|_{L_p(1\leq|x|\leq a_{2n})}\\
\leq\ & CC_f a_n\varepsilon_n \left\|(1+|x|)^{-(\Delta+1)}\right\|_{L_p(1\leq|x|\leq a_{2n})} \leq CC_f a_n\varepsilon_n a_n^{\frac{1}{p}-(\Delta+1)} \leq CC_f a_n\varepsilon_n.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Proposition 2.7. Using (3.4), we have from (2.2) and (2.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|(1+|x|)^{-\Delta}\left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x)w(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{\rho}\right\}^{\nu} Z_n(l, \nu, f; x)\right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}\\
\leq\ & CC_f\frac{a_n\log n}{n}\left\|(1+|x|)^{-\Delta}\right\|_{L_p(|x|\leq a_{2n})}\\
\leq\ & CC_f\frac{a_n\log n}{n}\begin{cases} a_n^{\frac{1}{p}-\Delta}, & \Delta p<1;\\ \log a_n, & \Delta p=1;\\ 1, & \Delta p>1\end{cases} \leq CC_f\frac{a_n^2\log n}{n}\begin{cases} 1, & \Delta p<1;\\ \frac{\log a_n}{a_n}, & \Delta p=1;\\ \frac{1}{a_n}, & \Delta p>1.\end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Proposition 2.8. Since we see for a fixed polynomial $P\in\mathcal{P}_{\nu n-1}$

$$L_n(\nu, P)-P = L_n(\nu, P)-L_n(\nu-1, \nu, P) = Z_n(\nu-1, \nu, P),$$

we can obtain from Proposition 2.7,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|(1+|x|)^{-\Delta}\left\{\Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x)w(x)\left(|x|+\frac{a_n}{n}\right)^{\rho}\right\}^{\nu}\{L_n(\nu, P)-P\}\right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})}\\
\leq\ & CC_f\frac{a_n^2\log n}{n}\begin{cases} 1, & \Delta p<1;\\ \frac{\log a_n}{a_n}, & \Delta p=1;\\ \frac{1}{a_n}, & \Delta p>1.\end{cases} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n\to\infty
\end{aligned}$$

(note Remark 2.13). □

Proof of Proposition 2.9. Since we see for a fixed polynomial $P\in\mathcal{P}_{\nu n-1}$

$$X_n(\nu, P)-P = L_n(\nu, P)-P+Y_n(\nu, P)$$

and $\varepsilon_n(a_n+\log n)\to 0$ as $n\to 0$, we have the result from Proposition 2.6 and Proposition 2.8. □

Proof of Theorem 2.11. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be taken arbitrarily. Then there exists a polynomial P such that

$$\left\| (f(x) - P(x)) (1+|x|)^{\alpha} \left\{ \Phi^{-\frac{3}{4}}(x) w(x) \left(|x| + \frac{a_n}{n} \right)^{\rho} \right\}^{\nu - \frac{\eta}{2}} \right\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} < \varepsilon. \tag{3.16}$$

In fact, by (2.14) we see

$$\lim_{|x| \to \infty} \left| f(x) w^{\nu - \eta}(x) \right| = 0,$$

hence as [7, (4.55)] we obtain (3.16). Then we also have

$$\left\| (f(x) - P(x)) (1+|x|)^{\alpha} \left\{ \Phi^{-\frac{3}{4}}(x) w_\rho(x) \right\}^{\nu} \right\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} < C\varepsilon, \tag{3.17}$$

and from

$$\left\| (1+|x|)^{\Delta - \alpha} \left\{ \Phi^{-\frac{3}{4}}(x) w(x) \left(|x| + \frac{a_n}{n} \right)^{\rho} \right\}^{\nu/2} \right\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} < \infty,$$

with (3.16), we have

$$\left\| (f(x) - P(x)) (1+|x|)^{\Delta} \left\{ \Phi^{-\frac{3}{4}}(x) w(x) \left(|x| + \frac{a_n}{n} \right)^{\rho} \right\}^{\nu} \right\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R})} < C\varepsilon. \tag{3.18}$$

Now, we see

$$|L_n(\nu, f) - f| \le |X_n(\nu, f - P)| + |X_n(\nu, P) - P| + |P - f| + |Y_n(\nu, f)|.$$

From Proposition 2.5 with $C_{f-P} = C\varepsilon$ and (3.17), we know

$$\left\| (1+|x|)^{-\Delta} \left\{ \Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x) w(x) \left(|x| + \frac{a_n}{n} \right)^{\rho} \right\}^{\nu} X_n(\nu, f - P) \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \le C\varepsilon. \tag{3.19}$$

Then, using (3.18), (3.19), Proposition 2.9 and Proposition 2.6, we conclude

$$\left\| (1+|x|)^{-\Delta} \left\{ \Phi^{\frac{3}{4}}(x) w(x) \left(|x| + \frac{a_n}{n} \right)^{\rho} \right\}^{\nu} (L_n(\nu, f) - f) \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R})} \le C\varepsilon.$$

Hence, we have the result. □

Proof of Theorem 2.12. If we split $|L_n(l, \nu, f) - f|$ as follows:

$$|L_n(l, \nu, f) - f| \le |L_n(\nu, f) - f| + |Z_n(l, \nu, f)|,$$

then the result is proved from Theorem 2.11 and Proposition 2.7. □

References

[1] H. S. Jung and R. Sakai, Inequalities with exponential weights, JCAM 212(2008) 359-373.

[2] H. S. Jung and R. Sakai, Orthonormal polynomials with exponential-type weights, J. Approx. Theory 152(2008) 215-238.

[3] H. S. Jung and R. Sakai, Markov-Bernstein inequality and Hermite-Fejér interpolation for exponential-type weights, J. Approx. Theory 162(2010), 1381-1397.

[4] H. S. Jung and R. Sakai, Derivatives of integrating functions for orthonormal polynomials with exponential-type weights, J. Inequalities and Applications, Vol. 2009, Article ID 528454, 22 pages.

[5] H. S. Jung and R. Sakai, Derivatives of orthonormal polynomials and coefficients of Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomial with exponential-type weights, J. Inequalities and Applications, Vol. 2010, Article ID 816363, 29 pages.

[6] H. S. Jung and R. Sakai, Specific examples of exponential weights, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 24 (2009), No.2, 303-319.

[7] H. S. Jung, G. Nakamura, R. Sakai and N. Suzuki, Convergence or divergence of higher order Hermite or Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomials with exponential-type weights, ISRN Mathematical Analysis, Volume 2012, Article ID 904169, 31 pages, doi:10.5402/904169
[8] T. Kasuga and R. Sakai, Uniform or Mean Convergence of Hermite-Fejér Interpolation of Higher Order for Freud Weights, J. Approx. Theory 101, 330-358(1999).
[9] T. Kasuga and R. Sakai, Orthonormal polynomials with generalized Freud-type weights, J. Approx. Theory 121(2003), 13-53.
[10] T. Kasuga and R. Sakai, Orthonormal Polynomials for Generalized Freud-type Weights and Higher Order Hermite-Fejér Interpolation Polynomials, J. Approx. Theory 127(2003), 1-38.
[11] T. Kasuga and R. Sakai, Orthonormal polynomials for Laguerre-type weights, Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS), Vol. 15 No.1(2004), 95-105.
[12] T. Kasuga and R. Sakai, Conditions for Uniform or Mean Convergence Hermite-Fejér Interpolation of Higher Order for Generalized Freud Weights, FJMS Vol.19(2005), 145-199.
[13] Y. Kanjin and R. Sakai, Pointwise convergence of Hermite-Fejér interpolation of higher order for Freud weights, Tohoku Math. J. 46(1994), 181-206.
[14] Y. Kanjin and R. Sakai, Convergence of the Derivatives of Hermite-Fejér Interpolation of Higher Order Based at the Zeros of Freud Polynomials Weights, J. Approx. Theory 80(1995), 378-389.
[15] D. S. Lubinsky, Hermite-Fejér interpolation and associated product integration rules on the real line: The L_∞ Theory, J. Approx. Theory 70(1992), 284-334.
[16] A. L. Levin and D. S. Lubinsky, Orthogonal Polynomials for Exponential Weights, Springer, New York, 2001.
[17] R. Sakai, Hermite-Fejér interpolation, Collo. Math. Soci. Janos Bolyai "Approx. Theory" (Hungary Kecskemet)(1990), 591-601.
[18] R. Sakai, The degree of approximation of differentiable by Hermite interpolation polynomials, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar., 58(1991), 9-11.
[19] R. Sakai, Hermite-Fejér interpolation prescribing higher order derivatives, Progress in Approximation Theory (edited by P. Nevai and A. Pinkus), Academic Press, Inc.(1991) 731-759.
[20] R. Sakai, Certain bounded Hermite-Fejér interpolation polynomials operator, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar., 59(1992), 111-114.
[21] R. Sakai and P. Vértesi, Hermite-Fejér interpolation of higher order,V, Studia Sci. Math. Hungarica 28(1993), 87-97.
[22] R. Sakai and P. Vértesi, Hermite-Fejér interpolation of higher order,W, Studia Sci. Math. Hungarica 28(1993), 379-386.
[23] R. Sakai and N. Suzuki, Farvard-type theorem and Jackson-type theorem, Pioneer J. Math. vol 3. no.1, 2011, 1-16.
[24] R. Sakai and N. Suzuki, Mollification of exponential weights and its application to the Markov-Bernstein inequality, Pioneer J. Math. vol 7. no.1, 2013, 83-101.

[1]Department of Mathematics Education, Sungkyunkwan University, Seoul 110-745, Republic of Korea.
E-mail address: hsun90@skku.edu

[2]Department of Mathematics, Meijo University, Nagoya 468-8502, Japan.
E-mail address: ryozi@crest.ocn.ne.jp